

Transgender Bone health

June 14, 2019 Raymond Fung



Learning Objectives

- Review role of sex steroids in bone physiology
- Speculate about the effects of transgender hormone therapy on bone health
- Review recent data on bone health in transgender people

Outline

- Sex steroids role in bone physiology
 - Androgens vs. Estrogen
 - Peak bone mass
- **Transgender hormone rx**
 - Possible effects
- **Effects of gonadectomy on bone**
 - Our research study
- Newer data on trans hormone effects on bone

Hip Fracture rates Men vs. Women



Sex steroid actions in Male Bone, Endocrine Reviews, 2014 (Vanderschueren)

Sex steroids and bone

- **Testosterone converted to:**
 - **DHT** (5 alpha reductase)
 - **7** 17 beta estradiol (E2) (aromatase)
- Estrogen
 - **7** 20% from testes, 80% from peripheral tissues (trans)

Sex steroid actions in Male Bone, Endocrine Reviews, 2014 (Vanderschueren)

Male bone vs. female bone

- Bone strength = BMD + dimensions, microstructure, material properties
- Rigidity of tubular bone, increases 4th power of diameter
- Periosteal expansion during puberty/early adulthood in men
- Females increase cortical thickness by limiting endocortical expansion
- Both central and peripheral bone sites, men have 25-33% larger cross sectional bone area than women

Sex steroid actions in Male Bone, Endocrine Reviews, 2014 (Vanderschueren)

Importance of E2 in male bone

- Men ER alpha null mutation
 - Normal T, increased E2
 - **7** Tall stature, incomplete epiphyseal closure
 - Markedly decreased BMD
 - Decreased cortical thickness due to increased endosteal expansion
 - Decreased cortical and trabecular vBMD
 - Decreased bone formation
- Men with aromatase deficiency
 - Suboptimal bone mass, cannot improve without estrogen replacement

E2 vs. T effects on bone

- E2 deficiency severe consequences on bone in both genders
- Androgens enhance bone mass in men compared to women
- **XY** complete androgen insensitivity
 - Normal female bone BMD
- Male ARKO identical cortical bone parameters compared to wild type female mice



Peak bone mass



Age in Years



Age in Years

Transgender hormone Rx: Feminizing

- ↗ If given during puberty/early adulthood
 - May decrease periosteal expansion
- Adulthood maintain bone mass
- Elderly ? Continue estrogen vs. cis females
- ? Improved bone density
- Other factors outside of hormone:
 - **7** Genetics
 - Nutritional
 - **7** Physical activity
 - Others: SES, mental health, obesity, other medical conditions

Transgender hormone Rx: Masculinizing

- Give testosterone, suppress menstrual cycle
 - **7** Conversion to estradiol
- Puberty
 - Induce periosteal expansion increased diameter
 - Stronger bone, decreased fracture rate
- What happens in adulthood
 - Larger cortical bone size vs. control females (cross sectional)
 - Preserved BMD over 2 yrs
 - Increased muscle mass may help induce these cortical bone changes
- Older transmales
 - **7** ? Maintenance
- Other factors: nutrition, physical activity, mental health, stress, SES

Caenegem, E. T'Sjoen, G. Bone in trans persons. Current opinion in endocrinology, 2015

Transcare during puberty

- Pt presents during puberty
- First step GnRH agonist therapy to hold puberty
- Earliest start Tanner stage 2
- ➤ Lag time before cross sex hormones are given
- → Official recommendation age 16
- Crucial time for bone mass accrual
- How does this affect BMD
- Is there catch up after cross sex hormones are given?

Puberty suppression and BMD

- **7** 19 transmales, age 15.0 (+/-2) for 1.5 years
- **↗** 15 transfemales, age 14.9 for 1.3 years
- Decrease in area BMD z scores, and bone mineral apparent density z scores
- No change in BMD
- Reassessed at age 22 incomplete catch up
- Mean age start sex hormone rx 16.6

Klink, D et al. Bone mass in young adulthood following GnRH treatment and cross sex hormone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria. JCEM 2015



Figure 1. Longitudinal *z*-score (mean \pm SD) development of the LS from start medical treatment until the age of 22 years in transmen and transwomen.

| | Start GnRHa | (n) | Start CSH | (n) | Age 22 y | (n) |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Transwomen LS | | | | | | |
| BMAD, g/cm ³ BMAD z score Range aBMD, g/cm ² aBMD z score Range T-score Range | 0.22 ± 0.03 -0.44 ± 1.10 0.84 ± 0.13 -0.77 ± 0.89 | 11 12 12 12 | 0.22 ± 0.02 -0.90 ± 0.80 | 13 14 15 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23 \pm 0.03 \\ -0.78 \pm 1.03 \\ -2.76 - 1.18 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.10 \\ -1.36 \pm 0.83 \\ -3.1 - 0.30 \\ -1.5 \pm 1.10 \\ -3.1 - 0.40 \end{array}$ | 13 14 15 13 13 15 15 |
| BMAD, g/cm ³ BMAD z score aBMD, g/cm ² aBMD z score Range T-score Bange | 0.28 ± 0.04 -0.93 ± 1.22 0.88 ± 0.12 -0.66 ± 0.77 | 12 11 14 7 | 0.26 ± 0.04 -1.57 ± 1.74 0.87 ± 0.08 -0.95 ± 0.63 | 14 10 15 11 | 0.28 ± 0.05 | 14 15 11 11 15 15 |

_

| 0.25 ± 0.03 | 18 | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 19 | 0.25 ± 0.28 | 19 |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 0.28 ± 0.90 | 18 | -0.50 ± 0.81 | 19 | -0.033 ± 0.95 | 19 |
| _ | | _ | | -1.8-2.03 | 19 |
| 0.95 ± 0.12 | 18 | 0.91 ± 0.10 | 19 | 0.99 ± 0.13 | 19 |
| 0.17 ± 1.18 | 18 | -0.72 ± 0.99 | 19 | -0.33 ± 1.12 | 19 |
| _ | | _ | | -2.3-2.5 | 19 |
| _ | | _ | | -0.43 ± 1.2 | 19 |
| | | _ | | -2.5-0.8 | 19 |
| | | | | | |
| 0.32 ± 0.04 | 18 | 0.31 ± 0.04 | 19 | 0.33 ± 0.05 | 19 |
| 0.01 ± 0.70 | 18 | -0.28 ± 0.74 | 18 | _ | _ |
| 0.92 ± 0.10 | 18 | 0.88 ± 0.09 | 19 | 0.95 ± 0.10 | 19 |
| 0.36 ± 0.88 | 13 | -0.35 ± 0.79 | 16 | -0.35 ± 0.74 | 16 |
| _ | | _ | | -1.80-0.80 | |
| _ | | _ | | 0.005 ± 0.87 | 19 |
| _ | | _ | | -1.90-1.10 | 19 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 0.25 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.28 \pm 0.90 \\ \\ 0.95 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.17 \pm 1.18 \\ \\ \\ \\ 0.32 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.01 \pm 0.70 \\ 0.92 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.36 \pm 0.88 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

 \sim

Summary

- Transfemale have decreased aBMD z scores compared with pretreatment level at age 22
- Transmales trend for decrease in z scores at age
 22
- Absolute bone mass stable/slight decrease with GnRH monotherapy followed by increase after start of cross sex hormone therapy
- Used natal sex as reference for aBMD z scores

Limitations

- オ Small numbers
- BMD at age 22 –short duration
- Compared to sex assigned at birth
- Short duration of GnRH, and started fairly late (age 15) so difficult to know effect if started earlier
- ↗ No long term fracture data

Bone Health post gonadectomy

- Estrogen +/- testosterone plays important role in maintenance of bone health
- Many trans pts, post gonadectomy, have high LH/FSH levels, even though they are staying on same amount of estrogen/ testosterone
- Does this really affect their bone health?

Case

- 28 year old transman

 - ➤ LH/FSH levels 5-7 range prior to surgery
 - Post oophorectomy LH 33, FSH 51
 - Total testosterone level day 3 post injection, 39 (normal 8-29), LH 20, FSH 34
 - **オ** Is he at risk for bone loss?

1998 Dutch BMD study

- Long-term follow-up of bone mineral density in transsexuals treated with cross-sex hormones
 - ↗ Van Kesteren et al, Clinical Endocrinology, 1998
- **2**0 trans women, 19 trans men
- BMD done baseline, 1 year after hormone therapy, and 28-63 months after
- Trans men: final measurements done 11-39 months after gonadectomy
- Trans women: final measurements done 12-44 months after gonadectomy





Study results

- LH level correlated with BMD at final measurements
- Trans men: decrease in BMD correlated to higher LH, and interpreted as inadequate testosterone to maintain bone density
- Different estrogen/testosterone regimens

Study Design

- Trans patients planning on gonadectomy in next 12 months, age 20 and above
- Baseline BMD and hormone levels within 12months prior to surgery
- Compare to follow up BMD and hormone levels at least 1 year post surgery
- BMD done at WCH (compare both M and F reference ranges)
- Subset: bone markers pre and post as well

Exclusion

- Concomittant medications affecting BMD
 - Bisphosphonates, denosumab
 - Systemic glucocorticoid therapy
 - Chemotherapy
- Medical conditions significantly affecting BMD
 - **7** Rheumatoid arthritis

 - ↗ Neurologic disease causing paralysis
 - **7** Multiple myeloma

Outcome measures

- Change in BMD between baseline and post surgery
- Change in gonadotropin and sex hormone levels between baseline and post surgery, and if these are correlated to BMD
- Change in bone turnover markers at baseline and post surgery, and if these are correlated with BMD

Target Sample Size

- ↗ 110 trans men and 110 trans women
- Allowing for 10% drop out rate

Contact

- Raymond.fung@tehn.ca
- **Y**asmeen:





http://www.exactdrive.com/news/steps-to-implement-a-data-strategy-into-your-programmatic-media-buys

Meta-analysis on bone health in transgender individuals

- Search up to April 2015, studies on bone health in transgender individuals receiving sex steroids
- adolescents and adult, transwomen (estrogen, antiandrogens) and transmen (testosterone)
- Baseline BMD, to post therapy BMD, or compared trans individuals to a reference group
- Random effects model used to pool weighted mean differences

Singh-Ospina, Naykky et al. Effect of sex steroids on the bone health of transgender individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JCEM Nov 2017

- **391** studies − included 13
- 392 transwomen (9 studies), and 247 transmen (8 studies)
- 12 studies evaluated change in BMD over time, and on evaluated fracture rates, all observation
- 11 before and after treatment comparisons of the same patients; 2 compared trans individuals to controls

- Mean age ranged from 19 to 43 years
- Outcome assessments were performed at 12, 24 months for majority of studies
- Risk of bias moderate
- Cohorts represented totality of practice as opposed to selected cases

- Transmen no significant changes in BMD in LS, fem neck, total hip BMD at 12 and 24 months
- Transwomen significant increase
 - At lumbar spine
 - 7 12 months: 0.04 g/cm2 (0.03-0.06)
 - 7 24 months: 0.06 g/cm2 (0.04-0.08)
 - **7** Fem neck − not significant
- Transwomen compared to control group (sex at birth) not statistically significant different

Female to Male

| ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|--|---|
| 1 | |
| 0.00 (-0.03, 0.03) | 41.67 |
| 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) | 58.33 |
| 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) | 100.00 |
| | |
| -0.04 (-0.12, 0.04) | 3.52 |
| -0.01 (-0.08, 0.06) | 4.37 |
| • 0.02 (-0.05, 0.09) | 4.56 |
| 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) | 8,76 |
| ÷ 0.00 (-0.03, 0.03) | 19.85 |
| • 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02) | 33.42 |
| 0.01 (-0.02, 0.04) | 25.52 |
| 0.00 (-0.01, 0.02) | 100.00 |
| | |
| 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) | 15.16 |
| -0.01 (-0.04, 0.02) | 28.55 |
| 0.03 (-0.01, 0.07) | 25.19 |
| 0.01 (-0.02, 0.05) | 31.10 |
| 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) | 100.00 |
| | |
| 0.02 (-0.06, 0.11) | 9.57 |
| 0.00 (-0.03, 0.03) | 90.43 |
| 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03) | 100.00 |
| | |
| 0.08 (-0.02, 0.17) | 5.69 |
| 0.02 (-0.00, 0.04) | 94.31 |
| 0.02 (0.00, 0.05) | 100.00 |
| | |
| l i i | |
| | ES (95% Cl) 0.00 (-0.03, 0.03) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) 0.01 (-0.08, 0.06) 0.02 (-0.05, 0.05) 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02) 0.01 (-0.02, 0.04) 0.00 (-0.01, 0.02) 0.01 (-0.04, 0.02) 0.01 (-0.04, 0.02) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.11) 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03) 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03) 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03) |

Male to Female

| Study | | ES (95% CI) | % Weight | | |
|---|-------|---|--|--|--|
| 12 month, lumbar spine van Kesteren, 1997 Dittrich, 2005 Mueller, 2010 van Kesteren, 1996 van Caenegem, 2015 Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.781) | ++++0 | 0.04 (0.00, 0.08) 0.06 (0.03, 0.08) 0.05 (0.00, 0.10) 0.04 (0.01, 0.07) 0.03 (-0.00, 0.06) 0.04 (0.03, 0.06) | 13.52 33.88 8.34 23.21 21.06 100.00 | | |
| 12 month, femoral neck Dittrich, 2005 Mueller, 2010 van Caenegem, 2015 Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.794) | • | 0.02 (-0.00, 0.04) 0.03 (-0.02, 0.08) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.04) 0.02 (0.00, 0.03) | 48.71 11.20 40.09 100.00 | | |
| 24 month, lumbar spine Dittrich, 2005 Mueller, 2010 Van Caenegem, 2015 Subtotal (I-squared = 66.7%, p = 0.050) | *+ | 0.06 (0.04, 0.09) 0.10 (0.05, 0.15) 0.03 (-0.00, 0.06) 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) | 50.03 15.36 34.61 100.00 | | |
| 24 month, femoral neck Dittrich, 2005 Mueller, 2010 Van Caenegem, 2015 Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.569) | • | 0.03 (0.00, 0.05) 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) 0.01 (-0.01, 0.04) 0.02 (0.00, 0.03) | 53.42 11.18 35.40 100.00 | | |
| 21 0 .1 .2 | | | | | |



One study looked at fracture rates in 53 transwomen and 53 transmen over 1 year with no fractures in either group

Summary

- Majority of studies looked at effects of sex steroids on BMD in young individuals
- Transmen no significant change at 12-24 months
- Transwomen increase in BMD at lumbar spine at 12, 24 months, no change in fem neck/hip
- Long term effects, fracture rates unknown

Longitudinal 10 year study

- Retrospective data, Netherlands, since 1998 -2016
- BMD at start of HxT, 2, 5, 10yrs post
- gonadectomy could be obtained after 1-1.5 years of hormone therapy
- Transwomen oral or transdermal estrogen, antiandrogen
- **Transmen oral, transderma, or IM testosterone**

Wiepjes, C et al. Bone Safety During the First Ten Years of Gender-Affirming Hormonal Treatment in Transwomen and Transmen. *J Bone Mineral Research, 2018*

BMD

- Obtained before start of HT (1 yr prior, 4 mon after)
- 2 yr (1-3yr), 5 yr (3-7.5yr), 10 yr (7.5-12yr)
- DXA Hologic Delphi, updated in 2004, replaced by Hologic Discovery A in 2011, phantom calibration allowed for comparison of BMD values with difference of < 1.0%</p>
- T and Z scores were calculated based on birth-sex reference range population (NHANES)

Results: cohort

- 711 transwomen
 - 2 years: 234 (33%)
 - 5 years: 174 (24%)
 - 10 years: 102 (14%)
- **7** 543 transmen
 - 2 years: 236 (43%)
 - 5 years: 95 (17%)
 - 10 years: 70 (13%)

| Baseline characteristics | Trans | women | Transmen | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Age (years), median (IQR) | 35 (| 26–46) | 25 (21-34) | | | |
| Ethnicity, white (%) | g | 97.2 | 95.2 | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD | 23.7 | 23.7 (4.3) | | 25.6 (5.7) | | |
| Smoking, yes (%) | 3 | 34.9 | | 39.5 | | |
| Bone mineral density | Male reference | Female reference | Male reference | Female reference | | |
| Lumbar spine, mean \pm SD | | | | | | |
| Absolute BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.976 ± 0.140 | | $\textbf{1.030} \pm \textbf{0.127}$ | | | |
| T-score | -1.07 ± 1.27 | -0.67 ± 1.27 | -0.61 ± 1.14 | -0.20 ± 1.14 | | |
| Z-score | -0.93 ± 1.32 | -0.31 ± 1.39 | -0.54 ± 1.15 | $+0.01 \pm 1.14$ | | |
| Total hip, mean \pm SD | | | | | | |
| Absolute BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.928 ± 0.136 | | 0.948 ± 0.118 | | | |
| T-score | -0.72 ± 0.89 | -0.13 ± 1.09 | -0.61 ± 0.75 | $+0.01 \pm 0.92$ | | |
| Z-score | -0.58 ± 0.92 | $+0.07 \pm 1.16$ | -0.55 ± 0.76 | $+0.07 \pm 0.93$ | | |
| Femoral neck, mean \pm SD | | | | | | |
| Absolute BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.789 ± 0.129 | | $\textbf{0.838} \pm \textbf{0.118}$ | | | |
| T-score | -1.06 ± 0.93 | -0.56 ± 1.14 | -0.72 ± 0.83 | -0.14 ± 1.02 | | |
| Z-score | -0.73 ± 0.94 | -0.25 ± 1.16 | -0.59 ± 0.85 | -0.05 ± 1.02 | | |
| Osteoporosis (%) | 14.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 2.4 | | |
| Low bone density (%) | 21.9 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 4.3 | | |

| Baseline characteristics | Transwomen | | Transmen | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Laboratory measurements ^a | Baseline | During HT | Baseline | During HT | |
| Estradiol (pmol/L), median (IQR) | 95 (68-124) | 235 (160-338) | 185 (59-390) | 159 (113-220) | |
| Testosterone (nmol/L), median (IQR) | 20 (16-25) | 1.1 (0.7-1.3) | 1.3 (1.2-1.7) | 26 (18-38) | |
| LH (U/L), median (IQR) | 3.4 (2.3-4.6) | 1.2 (0.1-6.2) | 4.2 (2.4-7.1) | 3.3 (0.7-8.8) | |
| 25(OH)D (nmol/L), median (IQR) | 42 (26-58) | 53 (35-72) | 50 (30-73) | 57 (40-78) | |
| Calcium (mmol/L), mean \pm SD | 2.36 (0.08) | 2.32 (0.08) | 2.34 (0.08) | 2.36 (0.08) | |
| Creatinine (μ mol/L), mean \pm SD | 76 (11) | 72 (11) | 66 (10) | 78 (11) | |
| AF (U/L), mean ± SD | 71 (19) | 67 (23) | 70 (22) | 78 (21) | |
| SHBG (nmol/L), median (IQR) | 35 (26-46) | 43 (29-59) | 51 (31-81) | 28 (20-36) | |

Transwomen



Transwomen- LS

- At 10 yrs compared to baseline
 - **7** BMD +0.006g/cm² (-0.005 to +0.017) −same
 - **7** Z score: +0.22 (0.12-0.32) increased
- ✓ Stratified age groups (<30, 30-40, >40) no difference
- - Lowest tertile : -0.026g/cm² (-0.044 to -0.009)
- Multivariate analysis: no diff found in age, testosterone, LH; higher estradiol tertile associated with larger increase in LS BMD than lower

Transmen



Transmen - LS

- At 10 years compared to baseline
 - BMD +0.008g/cm² (-0.004 to +0.019) -same
 - **Z** score: +0.34 (+0.23 to +0.45) increased
- Age >40 yrs
 - BMD increased +0.054g/cm² (0.032 to 0.076) compared with no change in younger age groups
- Estradiol Tertiles
 - Highest estradiol tertile increased BMD, no change in lower tertiles
- Testosterone not associated with change in LS BMD
- Suppressed LH (<1) associated with increase in LS BMD, no change in those with higher LH</p>
- Lowest baseline tertile BMD had increased BMD, other tertiles did not







Discussion

- Lumbar spine Z scores increased in both transmen and transwomen during 10 yrs of HxT
- Used comparison to sex assigned at birth (most had attained peak bone mass at baseline prior to start of hormone therapy)
- Natural course of BMD is to decreased after attaining peak bone mass
- However, BMD did not change over 10 yrs, so Z scores increased
- Likely indicates no negative influence of hormone therapy on BMD
- Low BMD found in transwomen at baseline
 - **7** Consistent with previous studies
 - Lower 25 OH vit D, lower muscle mass than control cis men, ? Decreased physical activity

Discussion

- Highest increase in BMD was found in those age 50 and older
- May be explained by higher sex hormone levels in persons on HxT compared with age matched cisgender persons
- Cis-women would be peri/postmenopausal while transmen at same started testosterone



Overview of bone geometry – Trans men and women

Caenegem, E. T'Sjoen, G. Bone in trans persons. Current opinion in endocrinology, 2015

Questions left unanswered

- ↗ Long term, fracture data
- Pediatric/adolescent data
- New normative data for transgender individuals that relate to fracture risk



- This webinar will be archived within 1-3 business days.
 - Find all our archived sessions at <u>http://osteostrategy.on.ca/btb-main</u>
 - If you haven't done so already, please complete an evaluation survey at https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/btbwebinar
- If you have questions about Beyond the Break, please contact Kevin at <u>kng@osteoporosis.ca</u>



